

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,151	06/19/2003	Teuvo Haapalahti	21860-6196	8990
75	590 08/09/2005		EXAM	INER
David A. Hall			KIM, PAUL D	
Heller Ehrman	White & McAuliffe LLP	•		
4350 La Jolla Village Drive, 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92122-1246			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 08/00/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,151	HAAPALAHTI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul D. Kim	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a pre - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	\	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28, 32 and 43-51, drawn to an inner conductor for use in a resonator, classified in class 29, subclass 731.
 - II. Claims 29-31 and 33-42, drawn to a method of making a coaxial resonator, classified in class 29, subclass 594.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If applicant elects Group I, then Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, drawn to an inner conductor for claims 1-11 and 43-47.

Art Unit: 3729

Species B, drawn to an apparatus for forming an inner conductor for claims 12-16.

Species C, drawn to a coaxial resonator for claims 17-28.

Species D, drawn to a flanging fixture for claims 32.

Species E, drawn to an apparatus for forming an inner conductor for use in a coaxial resonator for claims 48-51.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

If applicant further elects Species A, then

Species AA, drawn to formed flange including a planar transverse surface for claims 2 and 44.

Species AB, drawn to formed flange including a curved surface for claims 3, 5-11, 45 and 47.

Species AC, drawn to formed flange including a curved backward on itself for claims 4 and 46.

Currently, Claims 1 and 43 are generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3729

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. If applicant elects Group II, then Group II is required further restriction to one of the following inventions:
 - III. Claims 29-31, drawn to a method of making a coaxial resonator, classified in class 29, subclass 594.
 - IV. Claims 29-31 and 33-42, drawn to a method of making a flanged body, classified in class 29, subclass 594.

Art Unit: 3729

6. Inventions Group III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group III) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Group IV) as claimed because the combination (Group III) as claimed does not require an expending tool having a first diameter and a second diameter, the second diameter is grater than the first diameter. The subcombination has separate utility such as an expending tool having a first diameter and a second diameter.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/600,151

Art Unit: 3729

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim Examiner

Art Unit 3729